

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,648	0	7/30/2003	Laurent Coldre	11016-0018	6847
7	590	05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY Suite 600			STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
1750 K Street,	NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006				3634	
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/10/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V	#
	10/629,648	COLDRE ET AL.	ſ	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		-
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the r	nerits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			- •	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	age	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	/ (PTO-413) vate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/5/04.		Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	

Art Unit: 3634

Drawings

Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "32" and "34" on line 19 of page 6. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "[t]he invention provides" on line 1 can be easily implied and therefore should be deleted. On line 7, "a sliding

Art Unit: 3634

window pane" is confusing since it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the window set forth above or is attempting to set forth another element of the invention in addition to the window set forth above. Legal phraseology "means" on line 10 should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because the description of figures 1-4 and 4a is inadequate since each figure should be separately described.

Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. It is suggested that the applicant amend the title to remove the recitation "[i]mprovement" since all inventions are considered to be improvements over the prior art and to include the lack of reinforcing elements. See claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "sliding window pane" on line 7 of claim 1 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the window set forth above or is attempting to set forth another element of the invention in addition to the window set forth above. Recitations such as "much" on line 4 of claim 2 render the claims indefinite because they are relative terms whose meaning cannot be readily ascertained

Art Unit: 3634

by one with ordinary skill in the art and is not defined in the specification. Recitations such as "reducing wall thickness" on line 2 of claim 4 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what element of the invention includes the wall to which the applicant refers. Recitations such as "the flange supporting the clip" on lines 1-2 of claim 10 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is claiming the subcombination of a weatherstrip or the combination of a weatherstrip and a flange. The preamble of claim 1 implies the subcombination while the positive recitation of the flange in claim 10 implies the combination. Recitations such as "the sheet metal clearances thereof" on lines 5-6 of claim 10, "the clearance-accommodating lips" on lines 6-7 of claim 10 and "the jaws" on lines 7-8 of claim 10 render the claims indefinite because they lack antecedent basis. Recitations such as "or" on line 8 of claim 10 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear which one of the two non-equivalent alternatives the applicant is attempting to positively recite. Recitations such as "a single-flange type slideway" on lines 1-2 of claim 11 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth. What comprises a "single flange type slideway"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dover. Dover discloses a weatherstrip forming a slideway for a motor vehicle window 24, the strip comprising at least a fixing portion 26 in the form of a channel-section clip suitable for engaging on a flange 28 of the frame of a window opening of the vehicle, and a support portion 20, 30 supporting sealing lips 62 and 64 which are suitable for coming into sliding contact with a sliding window pane 24, the fixing portion being made from a rigid thermoplastic material (see column 5, lines 56-58) without the presence of reinforcing means, and wherein a hinge 44 is formed between the fixing portion and the portion supporting the sealing lips, a retaining abutment 48, a lip 46.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Backes et al., Minami et al., Brocke, Castagno, Hannya et al., Guillon, Dupuy, Lee et al. and McMillin et al. are cited for disclosing a weatherstrip having a flange mounting portion that does not have reinforcing means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3634

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

May 3, 2004